



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/672,972	09/26/2003	Masakatsu Uneme	N28733102E	3617
7590 04/14/2006		•	EXAMINER	
Darryl G. Walker			TSAI, SHENG JEN	
WALKER & S.	AKO, LLP			
Suite 235			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
300 South First Street			2186	
San Jose, CA 95113			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/672,972	UNEME, MASAKATSU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sheng-Jen Tsai	2186	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05.</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat fority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 8) 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This Office Action is taken in response to Applicant's Amendments and Remarks filed on April 5, 2006 regarding application 10,672,972 filed on September 26, 2003.

2. Claims 1-21 are pending in the application under consideration.

3. Response to Amendments and Remarks

Applicant's remarks have been fully and carefully considered with the Examiner's response set forth below.

Response to Final Action Premature

4. Withdrawal of Finality of Last Office Action

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Reinstating rejections of claims 2 and 20 under 112, second paragraph

The Office Action mailed on 2/6/2006 indicated that rejections of claims 2 and 20 under 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph have been withdrawn as the interpretation of the term "linearly aligned" will be according to the illustrations of figures 3 and 7 of the Application.

However, upon further consideration, there are still issues of claims 2 and 20 under 35 U.S.C 112, which necessitate the reinstatement of these rejections. Refer to the corresponding Section of the claim analysis for details.

Absence of Amendments

Applicant states in their Remarks dated on April 5, 2006 (D. Remarks, Rejection of Claims 1, 5, 7-19 and 21 under 35 U.S.C 102(e) based on Yabagawa, page 14 of the

Art Unit: 2186

Fax, lines 21-22) that "Applicant's amended claim 1 recites a number of features that believed to be neither shown in nor suggested by the cited reference Yanagawa."

Page 3

However, no amendments have been received so far. As such, the rest of this response section is based upon the original claims and Applicant's reply dated on January 9, 2006.

If Applicant intends to amend claims, the amendments must be presented for consideration. If Applicant intends to maintain the same claim language, the inconsistency should be corrected.

Art Unit: 2186

Response to remark on claim 1

Applicant contends that Yanagawa (US Patent Application Publication 2001/0046163) does not teach the limitation of "wherein each m output circuit is physically adjacent to a corresponding one of the m data output terminals," as recited in claim 1. The Examiner disagrees with this assessment for the following reason.

First, Figure 2 of Yanagawa shows a 32-bit DATA connection port between the memory device (figure 2, 11) and the memory controller (figure 2, 10). Since the 32-bit DATA port serves as the connection port between the two devices, it is <u>inherent</u> that there are 32-bit terminals at each side of the device so that connection can be made. Since the 32-bit connection port is a bi-directional data port, as shown in figure 2, the corresponding 32-bit terminal at each side of the device serves as both input and output terminal.

Second, Figure 2 of Yanagawa shows that the only entity between the 32-bit DATA connection port and the 32-bit DATA output signals is an INTERFACE unit (figure 2, 24). In other words, the 32-bit DATA output terminals are physically located at the left-hand side of the INTERFACE unit and the 32-DATA output signals are physically located at the right-hand side of the INTERFACE unit, as clearly shown in figure 2. Thus, it is clear that the 32-bit DATA output signal is physically most adjacent to the 32-bit DATA connection port than any other elements of the memory controller (figure 2, 10). Their physical proximity is clearly illustrated in figure 2.

Third, According to IEEE 100, The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards

Terms (7th edition, IEEE Press, 2000, ISBN 0-7381-2601-2, page 574), the definition of an interface for data transmission is "a common boundary; for example, a physical connection between two systems or two devices. The boundary may be mechanical such as the physical surfaces and spacing in mating parts, modules, components, or subsystems, or electrical, such as matching signal levels, impedances, or power levels."

Since the INTERFACE unit is internal to the memory controller, and both the 32-bit DATA output signals and the 32-bit DATA terminals are both electrical in nature, one of ordinary skills in the art would understand that the INTERFACE unit may be as simple as direct wiring, or at most of signal conditioning (signal level, impedance) to match different type of technologies (TTL, COMS) if necessary. Either way, their physical adjacency is evident by being at the two ends of an INTERFACE unit.

Therefore, the Examiner's position regarding claim 1, and those claims dependent from it, remains the same as stated in the previous Office Action.

Response to remark on claims 8 and 14

Applicant contends that Yanagawa (US Patent Application Publication 2001/0046163) does not teach the limitation of "first and second wiring corresponding to each input holding circuit being essentially equal in length," and "the length of the first wiring to each second latch circuit is essentially equal to the sum of the lengths of the second and third wirings," as recited in claims 8 and 14, respectively. The Examiner disagrees with this assessment for the following reason.

Art Unit: 2186

Page 6

Yanagawa teaches that "even when the delay of the variable delay circuits varies due to a variety of variation factors such as variation of the manufacturing process, variation in ambient temperature, and variation in the power supply voltage, proper delay control based on the phase comparison of clock signals makes it possible to adjust the delay of the variable delay circuit 51-5 to be equal to the 1/4 cycle of the clock signal. Under the conditions in which a variety of variation factors are present, therefore, optimum data acquisition timing can be achieved" (paragraph 0064).

Since it is possible to adjust the delay of the variable delay circuit to be equal to the ¼ cycle of the clock signal, the wirings, or signal paths, are <u>essentially</u> equal <u>electrically</u> for the purpose of signal synchronization.

Therefore, the Examiner's position regarding claims 8 and 14 remains the same as stated in the previous Office Action.

Response to remark on claims 15-19

Applicant again contends that Yanagawa (US Patent Application Publication 2001/0046163) does not teach the limitation of "<u>essentially</u> equal in length," as recited in the claims.

This issue has been addressed earlier. Refer to "Response to remark on claims 8 and 14."

Therefore, the Examiner's position regarding claims 15-19 remains the same as stated in the previous Office Action.

Response to remark on claims 3-4 and 6

Applicant again contends that Yanagawa (US Patent Application Publication 2001/0046163) does not teach the limitation of "each m output circuit is physically adjacent to a corresponding one of the m data output terminals," as recited in the claims.

This issue has been addressed earlier. Refer to "Response to remark on claim 1."

Therefore, the Examiner's position regarding claims 3-4 and 6 remains the same as stated in the previous Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2186

6. Claims 2 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 8

Claims 2 and 20 recite the limitation of "linearly aligned" between two sets of signals (the m data output terminals and the n signal output terminals). However, it is not clear as to what constitutes being "linearly aligned." The Specification section of the Application fails to provide a definition of this term, and it is difficult to comprehend how "m" terminals are "linearly aligned" to "n" terminals, especially when "m" is not an integer multiple of "n." Clarification is required.

Applicant's reply dated on January 9, 2006 stated that the term "linearly aligned" is believed to be understood by its ordinary and customary meaning. The Applicant is invited to provide an explanation on the ordinary and customary meaning of "linearly aligned," on how "m" terminals are "linearly aligned" to "n" terminals, especially when "m" is not an integer multiple of "n."

Applicant cited paragraph [0075] of the Application to support the term

"Also, as shown in FIG. 3, "n" input delay circuits 111 and "n/2" output delay circuits 112, including a DLL, and the like, can be arranged between the linear aligned data/signal I/O terminals (105/106) and linear aligned first stage and final stage FFs (107/108)"

However, the paragraph merely states that the data/signal I/O terminals and the first and final stage FFs are linearly aligned without providing a definition of being "linearly aligned."

Art Unit: 2186

Applicant further stated that "accordingly, reference to FIGS. 3 and 7 of Applicant's Specification would show <u>one very particular example</u> of "linearly aligned." And "importantly, <u>the above examples should not necessarily be construed as limiting to Applicant's invention</u>. The examples represent but particular embodiments of the invention."

It should be point out that, as Applicant contended, merely showing an example is not sufficient to define the scope and bound of a claim limitation (because there may be other different examples that are also considered as "linearly aligned"), which is critical to the determination of the merits of a claim regarding its patentability.

Therefore, as far as the determination of the patentability is concerned, the term "linearly aligned" remains undefined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 1-2, 5, and 7-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yanagawa (US Patent Application Publication 2001/0046163).

As to claim 1, Yanagawa discloses a memory controller [figure 1, 10] connected to a semiconductor memory device [figure 1, 11], comprising: a clock generating circuit that generates an output clock signal [figure 2, 21]; a data generating circuit that provides output digital data [figure 2, 32 bits DATA into the interface (24) and 32 bits DATA from the interface];

Art Unit: 2186

a predetermined number "m" data output terminals that provide output data to the semiconductor memory device in parallel [figure 2, 32 bits DATA to and from the memory device, m=32];

m output holding circuits for storing the output digital data synchronously with the output clock signal [32 bits latch circuits (figure 2, 30, 31 and 27)];

a predetermined number "n" signal output terminals that provide output strobe signals to the semiconductor memory device in synchronism with the output data, where n<m [strobe signals, figure 2; figure 12; figures 13A and 13B; figure 15; n=1], and

a plurality of output delay circuits including one output delay circuit for every "p" signal output terminal(s), where p is an integer greater than zero, each output delay circuit delaying the output clock signal by a predetermined amount to transmit an output strobe signal to the corresponding p signal output terminal(s) [figure 3 shows a plurality of delay circuits; figures 8-11; figure 15; figure 25; p=1 as a delay circuit is associated with each strobe signal; a data acquisition circuit which delays the strobe signal (abstract); paragraph 0025];

wherein each m output holding circuit is physically adjacent to a corresponding one of the m data output terminals [figures 2, 5-7; refer to "Response to remark on claim 1"]; and

the output of each output delay circuit is adjacent to the corresponding p signal output terminal(s) [figures 2, 5-7; refer to "Response to remark on claim 1"].

Art Unit: 2186

As to claim 2, Yanagawa teaches that the m output data output terminals and

Page 11

n signal output terminals are linearly aligned with one another [figure 2 shows that the 32-bit DATA output terminals are physically located at the left-hand side of the INTERFACE unit and the 32-DATA output signals are physically located at the righthand side of the INTERFACE unit, and there is a one-to-one correspondence between the two groups of 32-bit DATA signals. Note that this is consistent with the illustrations of figures 3 and 7 of the Application]; the m holding circuits are linearly aligned with one another [figure 25; Note that this is consistent with the illustrations of figures 3 and 7 of the Application]; and the plurality of output delay circuits are linearly aligned with one another between m holding circuits and the aligned m data output terminals and n signal output terminals [figure 25; Note that this is consistent with the illustrations of figures 3 and 7 of the Application; figure 2 shows that the 32-bit DATA output terminals are physically located at the left-hand side of the INTERFACE unit and the 32-DATA output signals are physically located at the right-hand side of the INTERFACE unit, and there is a one-to-one correspondence between the two groups of 32-bit DATA signals].

As to claim 5, Yanagawa teaches that the value of p is one [figure 3 shows a plurality of delay circuits; figures 8-11; figure 15; figure 25; p=1 as a delay circuit is associated with each strobe signal; a data acquisition circuit which delays the strobe signal (abstract); paragraph 0025.

As to claim 7, Yanagawa teaches that the memory controller of claim 1, further including:

a plurality of data input terminals that receive input data from the semiconductor memory device [figure 2, 32 bits DATA signals from the memory device (11)]; a signal input terminal [the STROBE SIGNAL shown in figure 2 between the memory device (11) and the interface unit (24)] for every "q" data input terminals [q=32 as shown in figure 2], where "q" is an integer greater than 2 [q=32 as shown in figure 2], each signal input terminal receiving an device input clock signal from the semiconductor memory device in synchronism with the input data [figure 12] shows the CLK signal];

Page 12

an input delay circuit corresponding to each signal input terminal that delays a received device input clock form the semiconductor memory device signal by a predetermined amount to generate an input strobe signal [figure 15; a data acquisition circuit which delays the strobe signal (abstract); paragraph 0025], the input delay circuits being arranged between the signal input terminals and positions where the input delay circuits output the input strobe signals [figures 2, 15]; and an input holding circuit corresponding to each data input terminal [data latch circuit, figure 15, 323], each group of q input holding circuits holding input data in synchronism with the input strobe signal from a corresponding input delay circuit [figure 15]; wherein the input data is transmitted to the data generating circuit through the data input terminals [figure 2].

As to claim 8, Yanagawa teaches that the memory controller of claim 1, further including:

a plurality of data input terminals that receive input data from the semiconductor memory device [figure 2, 32 bits DATA signals from the memory device (11)]; a signal input terminal [the STROBE SIGNAL shown in figure 2 between the memory device (11) and the interface unit (24)] for every "q" data input terminals [q=32 as shown in figure 2], where "q" is an integer greater than 2 [q=32 as shown in figure 2], each signal input terminal receiving an device input clock signal from the semiconductor memory device in synchronism with the input data [figure 12 shows the CLK signal];

an input delay circuit corresponding to each signal input terminal that delays a received device input clock form the semiconductor memory device signal by a predetermined amount to generate an input strobe signal [figure 15; a data acquisition circuit which delays the strobe signal (abstract); paragraph 0025], the input delay circuits being arranged between the signal input terminals and positions where the input delay circuits output the input strobe signals [figures 2, 15]; and an input holding circuit corresponding to each data input terminal [data latch circuit, figure 15, 323], each group of q input holding circuits holding input data in synchronism with the input strobe signal from a corresponding input delay circuit [figure 15]; wherein the input data is transmitted to the data generating circuit through the data input terminals [figure 2];

a first wiring corresponding to each data input terminal that transmits digital data to a corresponding input holding circuit [figure 2 shows the wiring between 32 bits DATA and the latch circuit (27)]; and

a second wiring corresponding to each input holding circuit that transmits the input strobe signal from a corresponding input delay circuit to the input holding circuit [figure 2 shows the wiring to connect the STROBE signal to the delay circuit (26) then to the latch circuit (27)];

wherein the first and second wiring corresponding to each input holding circuit being essentially equal in length [paragraphs 0061-0064; refer to "Response to remark on claims 8 and 14"].

As to claim 9, Yanagawa teaches that the m data output terminals are also

data input terminals that receive input data from the semiconductor memory device in parallel [figure 2 shows the 32 bits bidirectional DATA bus between the memory device and the memory controller]; and the n signal output terminals are also signal input terminals for receiving device input clock signals from the semiconductor memory device in synchronism with the input data [figure 2 shows the STROBE SIGNAL as well as the CLK].

As to claim 10, Yanagawa teaches that the output holding circuits transmit output digital data synchronously with both a rising edge and a falling edge of the output clock signal [paragraph 0004].

As to claim 11, Yanagawa teaches that the memory controller of claim 1, further including:

a plurality of data input terminals that receive input data from the semiconductor memory device [figure 2, 32 bits DATA signals from the memory device (11)];

Art Unit: 2186

a signal input terminal [the STROBE SIGNAL shown in figure 2 between the memory device (11) and the interface unit (24)] for every "q" data input terminals [q=32 as

Page 15

shown in figure 2], where "q" is an integer greater than 2 [g=32 as shown in figure

2], each signal input terminal receiving an device input clock signal from the

semiconductor memory device in synchronism with the input data [figure 12]

shows the CLK signal];

an input delay circuit corresponding to each signal input terminal that delays a received device input clock form the semiconductor memory device signal by a predetermined amount to generate an input strobe signal [figure 15; a data acquisition circuit which delays the strobe signal (abstract); paragraph 0025], the input delay circuits being arranged between the signal input terminals and positions where the input delay circuits output the input strobe signals [figures 2, 15]; and an input holding circuit corresponding to each data input terminal [data latch circuit, figure 15, 323], each group of q input holding circuits holding input data in synchronism with the input strobe signal from a corresponding input delay circuit [figure 15]; wherein the input data is transmitted to the data generating circuit through the data input terminals [figure 2];

wherein the input holding circuits transmit input data to the data generating circuit synchronously with both a rising edge and a falling edge of the corresponding input strobe signal [paragraph 0004].

As to claim 12, Yanagawa teaches that the semiconductor memory device being coupled to the memory controller by the m data output terminals and the n signal output terminals [figure 2].

As to claim 13, Yanagawa teaches that the memory controller of claim 1, further including: a circuit core region [figure 2, 10] in which the clock generating circuit [figure 2, 21)] and data generating circuit are formed [figure 2, 64bits→32bits and 32bits → 64bits]; and an interface region [INTERFACE, figure 2, 24 and 25] surrounding the circuit core region [figure 2, 10] in which the data output terminals [DATA 32 bits, figure 2], output holding circuits [latch circuits (figure 2, 30 and 31)], signal output terminals [figure 2, STROBE SIGNAL], and output delay circuits are formed [DEALY CIRCUIT, figure 2, 26];

wherein each output holding circuit [figure 2, 64bits→32bits and 32bits → 64bits]
comprising a first latch circuit [latch circuits (figure 2, 27, 30-33)].

As to claim 14, Yanagawa teaches that the data output terminals are data input/output (I/O) terminals [figure 2, 32bits DATA]; the signal output terminals are signal I/O terminals [figure 2, STROBE SIGNAL]; m input holding circuits corresponding to the data I/O terminals formed in the interface region [figure 2, 50], each input holding circuit comprising a second latch circuit [the second latch circuit in figure 2, 27; the other latch circuits in figure 2, 30-33] connected to a corresponding data I/O terminal by a first wiring [figure 2, the wiring from 32 bits DATA to the latch circuit (27)], the input holding circuits

holding input data in synchronism with a corresponding input strobe signal [figure 2, the STROBE signal leading to the delay circuit (26)]; and an input delay circuit connected to each signal I/O terminal by a second wiring [figure 2, the wiring from the STROBE signal leading to the delay circuit (26)], each input delay circuit delaying a received device input clock [figure 2, the CLK signal leading to the holding circuit (50)] from the semiconductor memory device signal by a predetermined amount to generate an input strobe signal [figure 2, the STROBE signal leading to the delay circuit (26)], each input strobe signal being connected to a corresponding second latch circuit by a third wiring [figure 2, the wiring between the delay circuit (26) and the latch circuit (27)]; wherein the length of the first wiring to each second latch circuit is essentially equal to the sum of the lengths of the second and third wirings corresponding to the same second latch circuit [paragraphs 0061-0064; refer to "Response to remark on claims 8 and 14"].

As to claim 15, refer to "As to claim 1" and "As to claim 14."

As to claim 16, Yanagawa teaches that the input delay circuits are arranged between the signal input terminals and locations where the input delay circuits output the input strobe signals [figure 2, 50].

As to claim 17, refer to "As to claim 10."

As to claim 18, refer to "As to claim 12."

As to claim 19, refer to "As to claim 13."

As to claim 20, refer to "As to claim 2."

Application/Control Number: 10/672,972 Page 18

Art Unit: 2186

As to claim 21, refer to "As to claim 1" and "As to claim 7."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 3-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yanagawa (US Patent Application Publication 2001/0046163), and in view of Kuge (US Patent Application Publication 2001/0014922).

Regading claims 3-4 and 6, Yanagawa does not teach the case where the value of p is greater than one, the case where the value of p is two, or the case where the value of p is selected from the group consisting one and two.

However, Kuge teaches in the invention "Interface Circuit Device for Performing Data Sampling at Optimum Strobe Timing" a method and apparatus of generating strobe signals using delay to obtain optimum sampling timing for data acquisition (abstract; figures 26, 36, 52). In particular, the scheme provides a strobe generation circuit for every 4 data terminals (figure 26). In addition, Kuge teaches that the association of the strobe timing circuit and the output terminals may be made on a byte by byte basis, or be divided into a plurality of sets of bits, including the case where the set of bits is 2 (paragraphs 0198-0202).

Application/Control Number: 10/672,972 Page 19

Art Unit: 2186

Allocating the timing adjustment circuit for generating strobe based on more than one output terminal provides a flexible compromise between the cost of the system and the capability to fine-tuning the effective wiring length of each of the data line.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to recognize the benefits of allocating the timing adjustment circuit for generating strobe based on more than one output terminal, as demonstrated by Kuge, and to incorporate it into the existing apparatus and method disclosed by Yanagawa, to further enhance the system's capability of fine-tuning the effective wiring length of each of the data line and provides better timing synchronization for data transfer.

10. Related Prior Art

The following list of prior art is considered to be pertinent to applicant's invention, but not relied upon for claim analysis conducted above.

- Zumkehr, (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0005346), "System and Method for Delaying a Strobe Signal."
- Noda et al., (US Patent Application Publication 2001/0015666), "Semiconductor Integrated Circuit Device, Semiconductor memory System and Clock Synchronous Circuit."

Conclusion

11. Claims 1-21 are rejected as explained above.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,972 Page 20

Art Unit: 2186

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheng-Jen Tsai whose telephone number is 571-272-4244. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim can be reached on 571-272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sheng-Jen Tsai Examiner Art Unit 2186

April 11, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED